Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	10/574,541	STROH ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	DEVANG R. PATEL	1793
All Participants: Status of Application: <u>Being allowed</u>		
(1) <u>Devang Patel</u> .	(3)	
(2) Ira Schultz.	(4)	
Date of Interview: 6 July 2010	Time: <u>11:00 a.m</u>	
Type of Interview:  ☐ Telephonic ☐ Video Conference ☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)  Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No If Yes, provide a brief description:		
Part I.		
Rejection(s) discussed:		
Claims discussed: 28		
Prior art documents discussed: Elmore		
Part II.		
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED: Examiner proposed amendment to better define the reinforcement geometry on the front surface of the sonotrode in order to structurally distinguish the sonotrode apparatus from prior art. After consideration, Applicant accepted the proposal and filed amended claims in a supplemental response.		
Part III.		
It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.     □ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview.		
did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.		
/DEVANG R PATEL/ Examiner, Art Unit 1793	pplicant/Applicant's Representat	ive Signature – if appropriate)
(//	rr	appropriate)